There Is No Lucifer

Cepher Publishing Group • Cepher Moments

This blog is based on the Cepher Publishing Group video presentation by Dr. Stephen Pidgeon that was originally broadcast on December 15, 2016.

Greek and Hebrew terms, proper names, Scripture references, punctuation, and paragraphing have been carefully reviewed for readability and accuracy. Links to the original video and supporting references are provided for source transparency.

There Is No Lucifer at a Glance

1. **What the Hebrew actually says**
   Yesha'yahu 14:12 contains הֵילֵל (Heylel), followed by ben-shachar, “son of dawn/morning.” The Hebrew text does not spell the Latin word Lucifer.
2. **Why translations differ**
   Older English Bibles may say “Lucifer”; other versions say “day star,” “morning star,” or “star of the morning.” These are translation or interpretive choices for the unusual Hebrew word Heylel.
3. **The standard lexical reading**
   Strong's entry H1966 and Brown-Driver-Briggs connect Heylel with H1984 halal in the sense of brightness, yielding “shining one” or a morning-star image.
4. **The Cepher's added reading**
   The Cepher also considers H3213 yalal, “to howl or wail,” as an interpretive relationship and therefore preserves both Heylel and “howling” in its rendering.
5. **What “star” contributes**
   The ordinary Hebrew noun for star, kokav (H3556), is not in Isaiah 14:12. Shachar (H7837), dawn/morning, is present. “Star” therefore comes from interpreting Heylel, not from a separate word for star in the verse.

FULL EDITED TRANSCRIPT

There Is No “Lucifer” in the Hebrew Text

Today I want to talk about some very good news: there is no “Lucifer” as a proper name in the Hebrew text of Scripture. For those who have built a theology or identity around the name Lucifer, that is a significant point.

Many English Bibles do, in fact, use the word Lucifer in Yesha'yahu (Isaiah) 14:12. The familiar King James wording is, “How art thou fallen from heaven, O Lucifer, son of the morning!” But when we go back to the Hebrew, the word Lucifer is not there. Let's look at what the text actually says and how that English tradition developed.

The Latin Background

The Latin Vulgate uses the word Lucifer in Isaiah 14:12. Jerome (Eusebius Sophronius Hieronymus) worked on the Latin biblical text in the late fourth and early fifth centuries. In Latin, Lucifer is a descriptive word meaning a light-bringer or morning star. It is not a transliteration of the Hebrew letters in Isaiah 14:12.

There was also a fourth-century bishop named Lucifer of Cagliari. He was a bishop in Sardinia who, during the Antiochene controversy, consecrated Paulinus as a bishop at Antioch. Jerome later wrote against the group known as the Luciferians and was himself ordained by Paulinus. That history is real, but the surviving evidence does not show that the bishop's name caused the Vulgate wording in Isaiah. In fact, the Latin Vulgate also uses Lucifer in 2 Peter 1:19 for the morning star rising in the heart.

For our purposes, the textual point is straightforward: “Lucifer” is Latin. It is not the Hebrew word that appears in Yesha'yahu 14:12.

What Yesha'yahu 14:12 Says in Hebrew

אֵיךְ נָפַלְתָּ מִשָּׁמַיִם **הֵילֵל** בֶּן־שָׁחַר נִגְדַּעְתָּ לָאָרֶץ חוֹלֵשׁ עַל־גּוֹיִם׃

Focus phrase: הֵילֵל בֶּן־שָׁחַר • Heylel ben-shachar

The word we are concerned with is הֵילֵל - Heylel, Strong's entry H1966. In the consonantal text it is הילל: heh, yod, lamed, lamed. It occurs only here in Scripture. It is followed by ben-shachar: “son of dawn” or “son of morning.”

That is why this verse has generated so much interpretation. Because Heylel occurs only once in Scripture, translators and lexicographers have to decide how to understand it from its form, its likely root, and the poetic context.

How English Bibles Render Heylel

A number of older English editions carried the Latin form into English and used “Lucifer” - including the Geneva Bible, the King James tradition, the New King James Version, the Douay-Rheims, and the Wycliffe Bible tradition. Other translations use expressions such as “day star,” “morning star,” or “star of the morning.” The Orthodox Jewish Bible transliterates a form of the Hebrew as Heilel, while the Cepher uses Heylel.

So right away we can see that “Lucifer,” “day star,” and “morning star” are not three different Hebrew words. They are different ways translators have chosen to represent one difficult Hebrew expression.

Heylel, Halal, and the “Shining One”

The standard lexical explanation is that Heylel is related to the Hebrew root הָלַל - halal, Strong's H1984 - in the sense of brightness or shining. From that connection, lexicons arrive at “shining one” and then at the image of the morning star. That is the mainstream lexical derivation and should be stated clearly.

But notice something else: the ordinary Hebrew word for “star” is כּוֹכָב - kokav, Strong's H3556 - and that word does not appear in Isaiah 14:12. The word שַׁחַר - shachar, Strong's H7837 - does appear, and it means dawn or morning. So “morning” or “dawn” has a direct Hebrew basis in the verse; “star” is supplied because Heylel is being interpreted as a shining celestial figure.

The Cepher’s “Howling” Interpretation

The Cepher takes an additional interpretive step. There is another Hebrew root, יָלַל - yalal, Strong's H3213 - meaning to howl or wail. In the ancient consonantal text there were no modern vowel marks, and the Cepher's analysis asks whether the initial heh can be understood in relation to this howling root. That is not the standard lexical derivation of Heylel, but it is the interpretive possibility the Cepher chose to preserve for the reader.

Rather than erase one possibility in favor of the other, the Cepher keeps Heylel as the name-like form and also brings the howling interpretation into the phrase. That is why the Cepher reads:

> *“How are you fallen from heaven, O Heylel, son of the howling morning! how are you cut down to the ground, which did weaken the nations!”*

That reading is substantially different from treating Lucifer as though it were the Hebrew proper name. The Cepher is deliberately showing the Hebrew form Heylel and its own proposed relationship to the language of howling.

Translation, Transliteration, and Interpretation

This is where readers need to distinguish translation from transliteration and from interpretation. “Lucifer” is not a transliteration of הילל. It is a Latin rendering that was inherited by some English Bibles. “Day star” and “morning star” reflect the standard lexical interpretation of Heylel as a shining one. The Cepher takes a different editorial approach: it retains the Hebrew form Heylel and also makes visible its alternative howling interpretation.

So if your Bible says “Lucifer,” recognize that the Hebrew text does not spell a proper name Lucifer. If your Bible says “day star,” neither a separate Hebrew word for “day” nor the word kokav, “star,” appears in the phrase. If it says “morning star,” the “morning/dawn” element is directly supported by shachar, while “star” still comes from the interpretation of Heylel.

That does not mean every translator who uses “morning star” is careless; it means the reader should know which parts are explicit words in the Hebrew and which parts are interpretive renderings. That distinction matters whenever we claim that a particular name or doctrine is “in the text.”

Closing

For anyone who pays homage specifically to the name Lucifer as though Yesha'yahu 14:12 supplied that as the Hebrew name of the fallen being, the underlying Hebrew does not support that conclusion. Go back to the text, read what is actually there, compare the translation choices, and decide carefully how much interpretation each rendering contains.

Verification Notes

Links are provided for source transparency and to document the textual, lexical, and historical references used in this article.

- **Original CPG video**. The YouTube page identifies the production as “CEPHER Moments - There Is No Lucifer.”
- **CPG article on this teaching**. Cepher Publishing Group published “There is no Lucifer (with video)” on December 15, 2016, using Heylel and explaining the same halal/yalal interpretive framework.
- **Hebrew: Heylel (H1966)**. Strong's Concordance and Brown-Driver-Briggs list הֵילֵל as a noun occurring only in Isaiah 14:12 and connect it with H1984 in the sense of brightness.
- **Hebrew: halal (H1984)**. The standard root connection used by major lexicons includes the sense “to shine,” alongside praise/boast-related meanings.
- **Hebrew: yalal (H3213)**. The verb יָלַל means to howl or wail; the Cepher's connection of Heylel to this root is an alternative interpretation.
- **Hebrew: kokav (H3556)** and **shachar (H7837)**. Kokav (H3556) is the ordinary noun “star”; shachar (H7837) means dawn/morning. Kokav is absent from Isaiah 14:12; shachar is present.
- **Latin Vulgate comparison**. The Vulgate has Lucifer in Isaiah 14:12 and also in 2 Peter 1:19, where it refers positively to the morning star arising in the heart.
- **Jerome - Dialogue Against the Luciferians**. Lucifer of Cagliari was a Sardinian bishop who consecrated Paulinus at Antioch. Jerome later wrote Dialogue Against the Luciferians; the evidence does not establish that the bishop's name caused Jerome's Isaiah wording.

Explore more Cepher Publishing Group resources at **cepher.net**.